WHITE PAPER

Headspace GC Solvents



charlah



The pharmaceutical industry uses solvents in the synthesis or recrystallisation of its products which must later be eliminated due to their toxicity and undesirable effects on consumers.

Residual solvents are volatile organic impurities that come from the manufacturing or purification processes of end products. The guide for the control of residual solvents Q3C from the ICH (International Committee on Harmonisation) and the American Pharmacopoeia (USP) and European Pharmacopoeia (Ph Eur) (methods in USP chapter <467> and in Ph Eur chapter 2.4.24), have established the maximum permissible limits for residual solvents.

HeadSpace gas chromatography, is the most appropriate method to analyse and quantify volatile organic solvents in a wide variety of samples. The technique consists of concentrating the volatile compounds of interest in the gas phase of a sample vial and analysing the gas sampled by GC. This allows the detection limits to be reduced to values lower than 1 ppm.

Classification according to toxicity

Residual solvents are divided into four classes according to their toxicity. Solvents in Class 1 are considered very toxic and should be avoided in the manufacture of pharmaceutical products. Class 2 are less toxic, but their levels are limited. Class 3 are a minor risk to human health, but under no circumstance can they be ignored. Class 4 solvents are those that should be analysed, but whose actual toxicity in humans is not known.

Solvents used to analyse these volatile compounds must have a boiling point which is much higher than the compound itself. These solvents will be the matrix through which the sample will be dissolved to analyse the impurities of volatile solvents. In class 3 solvents, when the drying loss is greater than 0.5%, they must be identified and authenticated. If the drying loss is less than 0.5%, it is considered within the accepted levels and it is not necessary to quantify them.

Maximum limits accepted in the ICH guide

Table 1.

Class 1 solvents: must be avoided in pharmaceutical products

Solvent	Caution	Concentration limit (ppm)
Benzene	Cancerogenic	2
Carbon Tetrachloride	Toxic and harmful to the environment	4
1,2-Dichloroethane	Toxic	5
1,1-Dichloroetthane	Toxic	8
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	Harmful for the enviroment	1.500

Table 2.

Class 2 solvents: must be limited in pharmaceutical products

Acetonitrile 4.1 410 Acetonitrile 4.1 410 Chloroberzome 3.6 360 Chloroberzome 3.6 360 Choroberzome 3.6 360 Cumere 8.8 3.880 Cumere 6.8 3.880 1.2-Deconsthane 6 600 1.2-Deconsthane 100 100 1.2-Deconsthane 100 100 N.N-Dimethylce- 100 1,090 N,N-Dimethylforma- 8.8 880 ride 2.2 200 Methanol 1.6 160 Ethylene dycol 6.2 200 Methanol 30 300 2.4motoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl kotone 0.5 50 Methylyktonyl kotone 11.8 1180
Chicoberzane 3.6 360 Chicordorm 0.6 60 Cyclobroxane 38.8 3.80 Cyclobroxane 38.7 3.80 J. 2-Obchorosthane 6.7 1870 Dichoronethane 6.7 1870 Dichoronethane 1 100 N.N-Dimethylloce 10.9 1,090 tamide 3.8 880 Ad-Doxane 3.8 880 Ad-Doxane 3.8 380 2-Ethostostehanol 1.6 160 Ethylane global 2.2 220 Hexane 2.3 300 Z-Mothoxiethanol 5 50 Methyl Loyl Letone 0.5 50 Methyl Loyl Letone 0.5 50 Methyl Loyl Letone 1.8 1180
Chicroform 0.6 60 Cyclohexare 38.8 3.880 Cumene 0.7 70 1.2 Dichlocottane 18.7 1870 Dichlocontellane 6 000 Dichlocontellane 6 000 N.P.Direthylace 10.9 1,000 N.N.Direthylace 8.8 880 1.4-Dioxare 3.8 380 2-Ethoxidehanol 1.6 160 Ethylace of 2.2 220 Difference Methanol 30 300 300 2-Methoxidehanol 0.5 50 Methylocylochexane 1.8 1180 Methylicylochexane 11.8 1180 1480 1480 1480
Cyclohexane 38.8 3.880 Cummen 0.7 70 1.2-Dichloroethane 18.7 1870 Dichloromethane 6 600 1.2-Dichloroethane 10.9 1.090 N.P.Dimethylace- 10.9 1.090 tamide 3.8 380 Z-Ethosiathanol 1.6 160 Ethylace 2.2 200 Methanol 3.0 300 2-Methylatonia 0.5 50 Methylatonia 0.5 50 Methylstone 0.5 50 Methylstone 1.8 180
Cumene 0.7 70 1.2-Dichlorosthane 16.7 1870 1.2-Dichlorosthane 6 600 1.2-Dichlorosthane 6 600 1.2-Dichlorosthane 100 100 Namb 10.9 1.090 1.090 Numb 10.9 1.090 1.090 Numb 1.6 6.8 880 1.4-Dioxane 3.8 380 2-Ethodisthanol 1.6 160 Ethylene glycol 6.2 6.20 Formanide 2.2 220 Hommide 2.0 300 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methylyclyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methyl Livyl ketone 0.5 50 Methylyl ketone 45 4500
1.2-Dichloroethane 18.7 1870 Dichloromethane 6 600 1.2-Dimethoxethane 1 100 N.N-Dimethylace- 10,9 1,090 tamide 10,9 1,090 Machine 8.8 880 Dictoromethane 16 160 Ethylacehanol 1.6 160 Ethylacehanol 1.6 160 Ethylacehanol 2.2 200 Hexane 2.9 200 Hexanol 30 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl Lotyl ketone 0.5 50 Methyl Lotyl ketone 1.8 1180
Dichformethane 6 600 1,2.Dimethvistehane 1 100 N,N-Dimethvistehane 1 100 N,N-Dimethvistehane 1,90 1,900 M-Binnethvistehane 8.8 880 1,4-Dioxane 3.8 380 2-Ethosistehanol 1.6 160 Ethvistene 2.2 220 Hoxane 2.3 200 Z-Methoxitehanol 0.5 50 Methyl Ustorne 1.8 1180 Methyl Ustorne 0.5 50 Methyl Ustorne 1.8 1180
1.2-Umethoxethane 1 100 N.N-Dimethyllorma- 10,9 1,090 tamide 10,9 1,090 mide 8.8 880 mide 16 600 Ethostethanol 1.6 600 Ethostethanol 2.2 600 Formarnico 2.2 220 Hexane 2.9 290 Methanol 30 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl Lvktone 0.5 50 Methyl Lvktone 1.8 1180
N.N.D.Limethylace- 10,9 1,090 Limida Limida 8.8 880 N.N.Dimethylforma- 8.8 880 14-Dioxan 3.8 380 1.4-Dioxane 3.8 380 2-Ethologithanol 1.6 160 Ethvisne given 6.2 6.20 200 100 2.20 200 Formanide 2.3 200 2.3 200 2.40 2.5 50 Methyl Loydi Vetone 0.5 50 50 Methyl Loydi Vetone 1.8 1180 Methyl Loydi Vetone 1.8 180 180 180
N.N.Dimethylforma- mide 8.8 880 1.4-Dioxane 3.8 380 2-Ethosiethanol 1.6 160 Ethylene dycol 6.2 620 Formamide 2.2 220 Hexane 2.9 290 Methanol 30 300 2-Methylkotikethanol 0.5 50 Methylyclyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methylykotiketone 45 4500
mde mde 1.4-Dioxane 3.8 380 2-Einokethand 1.6 160 Ethviere divcol 6.2 200 Hexane 2.9 290 Wethanol 30 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl Livyl kotone 0.5 50 Methyl Livyl kotone 11.8 1180
1,4-UniXarile 3.8 360 2-Elmoxiethanol 1.6 160 Ethylene glycol 6.2 620 Formamide 2.2 220 Hexane 2.9 290 Methanol 30 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl Loyth ketone 0.5 50 Methyl Joyth ketone 1.8 1180
2-Embodentario 1-6 100 2-Embode 6-2 200 Hexano 2-2 200 Wethanol 30 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl Lubyl kotone 0.5 50 Methyl Lubyl kotone 11.8 1180
Entrylete gycol 6.2 620 Formanide 2.2 220 Hexane 2.9 290 Methanol 30 300 2-Methyoitetanol 0.5 50 Methylyotyktetanol 1.5 50 Methylyotyktetanol 1.8 1180 Methylyotyktetanol 45 4500
Therane use 2.9 2.90 Methanol 3.0 300 300 2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methy Loyd, ketone 0.5 50 Methylcyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methyl Stord 45 4500
Solution Solution Solution 2-Methodisethanol 0.5 50 Methyl butlyl ketone 0.5 50 Methyl loyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methyl loyclohexane 45 4500
2-Methoxiethanol 0.5 50 Methyl butyl ketone 0.5 50 Methyl-cyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methyl ketone 45 4500
Methyl butyl ketone 0.5 50 Methyl cyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methyl isobutyl ketone 45 4500
Methylcyclohexane 11.8 1180 Methyl isobutyl ketone 45 4500
Methyl isobutyl ketone 45 4500
N-Methylpyrrolidone 5.3 530
Nitromethane 0.5 50
Pyridine 2 200
Sulfolane 1.6 160
Tetrahydrofuran 7.2 720
Tetralin 1 100
Toluene 8.9 890
1,1,2-Trichloroethane 0.8 80
Xylene (Add isomers) 21.7 2170

Table 3.

Class 3 solvents: low risk to human health

Solvent	Concentration limit (ppm)
Butyl acetate	
Ethyl acetate	
Isobutyl acetate	
Isopropyl acetate	
Methyl acetate	
Propyl acetate	
Acetone	
Acetic acid	
Formic acid	
Anisole	
1-Butanol	
2-Butanol	
t-Butyl methyl ether	
Dimethyl sulfoxide Ethanol	 50mg per day (5,000ppm)
Diethyl ether	
Ethyl formate	
Heptane	
Methyl ethyl ketone	
3-Meythl-1-butanol	
2-Meythl-1-propanol	
Pentane	
1-Penthanol	
1-Propanol	
2-Propanol	
Triethylamine	



Analysis of volatile organic compounds via Headspace

Chromatogram 1 shows the most common solvents analysed via the headspace technique, dissolved in DMSO. Analysis conditions are given in Table 1:

Cromatogram 1.



The procedures described in Ph Eur or USP, show several alternatives for the determination of volatile organic compounds in APIs or end products, distinguishing several methods depending on whether the sample is water soluble or not. In case the sample is not water soluble, DMSO, DMF, DMA, DMI, NMP and benzyl alcohol can be used as alternative solvents.

What do we need to know about the Headspace technique?

Several Headspace techniques have been developed: the dynamic HS or purge and trap HS and static HS.

Dynamic or purge and trap Headspace

In the dynamic or purge and trap HeadSpace, the sample is housed in an open vial, usually 20ml. An extraction is made by passing an inert gas through the vial where the sample is. The analytes are caught in a trap with a solid phase adsorbent, where after a thermal desorption, they are transported by the carrier gas to the gas chromatograph.

In this technique, heating is not necessary as the force of the gas is used, which permits using solvents with a low boiling point. Carrying out a constant purge of the volatile compounds is possible until the residual solvents are completely extracted. This enables a complete extraction and subsequent analysis of the solvents present. By properly combining the nature and the absorbent in the trap, the concentration of analytes at a level of traces with a wide range of volatility is achieved.

Static Headspace

In the static HeadSpace technique, the sample is housed in a hermetically sealed vial with a capsule provided with a septum. The vial can be 6, 10 or 20 ml and is approximately half filled. The vial with the sample is heated in a furnace so that part of the volatile organic compounds changes to a gas phase. The area where the gas is present in the vial is called the Headspace.

Controlling incubation temperature and time in the oven, equilibrium between the liquid phase and gas phase is reached. Once equilibrium is reached, an aliquot of the gas phase is taken and transferred to the chromatograph for analysis.



In any case, for Headspace analysis it is essential that the solvent be free of the compounds of interest to prevent false results, interferences or contaminants.

Scharlab has a complete, specific line of high-boiling point solvents for the analysis of residual solvents via HeadSpace. The main features of the HS-GC grade solvents are:

- Free of residual solvent impurities
- High purity
- Strict, detailed specifications
- Specific quality control via Headspace GC
- Very stable base line with good linearity in the elution range for most residual solvents

Comparison of HeadSpace grade and analytical grade ACS

In this experiment, we evaluated the suitability for the analysis of residual solvents with an HSF grade DMF compared with an analytical grade DMF, both Scharlau brand. The yield of the two DMFs, under the conditions mentioned below, was compared with the chromatogram obtained for a standard mixture of the residual solvents. A 10ml sample was analysed.

The standard mixture comprised a wide variety of residual solvents as established in ICH. The following table shows the composition of the standard mixture.

Varian 3900 GC	Concentration (mg/L)
Dichlorometane	1,06
tBME	2,07
Acetone	2,53
Methanol	2,53
THF	1,42
n-Hexane	0,53
Ethyl acetate	2,16
Ethanol	1,9
Benzene	1,06
Cyclohexane	1,87
Acetonitrile	2,52
2-Propanol	2,18

Varian 3900 GC	Concentration (mg/L)	
Isopropyl acetate	2,1	
n-Propanol	1,92	
n-Heptane	1,9	
Methylcyclohexane	2,16	
1,4-Dioxane	2,47	
Toluene	1,74	
Pyridine	1,96	
Butyl Acetate	2,11	
Ethylbenzene	2,09	
p-Xilene	2,06	
m-xilene	2,06	
o-xilene	2,11	

Scharlab The Lab Sourcing Group



The DMF analytical grade chromatogram shows more background noise and the presence of interfering peaks at the area of interest. To avoid incorrect identification and quantification of the volatile impurities present in the sample, the HS product is more suitable.

Although some peaks do not directly interfere in the analysis because our sample cannot contain that impurity, the low resolution of the peaks often makes analysis difficult. For example, the retention time of acetone is very similar to that of 2-propanol under the same conditions, which would lead to a possible identification error.

This test analysis is quite demanding, not only for the amount of sample analysed (10.0mL) to lower the detection limit <1 ppm, but also because analysis conditions require thermostating the samples at 80° C for 50 minutes.



The high quality of the DMF HS, whose chromatogram has no interfering peaks at the range of interest, makes it especially suitable for the analysis of volatile compounds using the Headspace technique.



Comparison of HeadSpace solvents

Different HeadSpace-quality 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) were compared, one being the Scharlau Brand and three other brands on the market.

The Scharlau NMP chromatogram is clean without interfering peaks, while competitor products have peaks at the area of interest which can interfere with the impurities in the sample.



In addition to the optimal results obtained in the comparison, while other competitor brands only ensure residual solvents levels below that established in the ICH Q3C guide, Scharlau Headspace solvents guarantee the lowest levels in these solvents in all batches manufactured.

Scharlab The Lab Sourcing Group



Scharlau Headspace GC Products

Scharlab manufactures a wide range of Headspace GC solvents. Very strict purification processes are used to eliminate volatile impurities. The bottling process is also critical to prevent the already purified products from being contaminated with traces of residual solvents present in the atmosphere, which is why Scharlab has designed specific bottling processes for these solvents. Each batch is analysed in our Quality Control Laboratory by means of HS-GC to ensure suitability for the analysis of residual solvents and impurity value traces are indicated on the Certificate of Analysis.

Scharlau HS-GC products not only comply with what is established in the ICH Q3C guide, but also established values which are much lower than the recognized limits.

Maximum limits in solvents for Headspace GC are indicated in the following table:

Varian 3900 GC	Scharlau HS-GC Scharlau quality limit (mg/L)
Dichloromethane	0,6
tBME	1
Acetone	1
Methanol	1
THF	0,7
n-Hexane	0,3
Etilene acetate	1
Ethanol	1
Benzene	0
Cyclohexane	1
Acetonitrile	0,4
2-Propanol	1

Varian 3900 GC	Scharlau HS-GC Scharlau quality limit (mg/L)
Isopropyl acetate	1
n-Propanol	1
n-Heptane	1
Methylcyclohexane	1
1,4-Dioxane	0,4
Toluene	0,9
Pyridine	1
Butyl acetate	1
Ethylbenzene	1
p-Xylene	1
m-Xylene	1
o-Xylene	1

New Products

Responding to our users' needs, we have added new HS-quality products to our product range. We appreciate our clients' suggestions to develop new products.

Scharlau also has a line of GC patterns for the identification and quantification







Scharlab S.L. Gato Pérez, 33. Pol. Ind. Mas d'en Cisa. 08181 Sentmenat, Barcelona, Spain Tel.: +34 93 745 64 00 - Fax: +34 93 715 27 65 E-mail: consultas@scharlab.com

of residual solvents contained in the ICH Q3C guide.

Scharlab Italia S.r.l. Via Alcide De Gasperi 56. 20070 Riozzo Di Cerro al Lambro (Mi), Italy Tel.: +39 02 9823 0679 / +39 02 9823 6266 Fax: +39 02 9823 0211 E-mail: customerservice@scharlab.it

scharlab.com

Scharlab Philippines, Inc. 18G Miller Compound, Barangay Bungad, San Francisco Del Monte, Quezon City 1105 Philippines Tel.: +63 2 3514972 - Fax: +63 2 3514972 E-mail: infophilippines@scharlab.ph

Scharlab Brasil SA Estrada do Campo Limpo, 780 São Paulo Tel.: (11) 5512 5744 - Fax: (11) 5511 9366 E-mail: scharlab@scharlab.com.br



Scharlab The Lab Sourcing Group

consultas@scharlab.com · scharlab.com